REMARKS

The outstanding Office Action has been carefully considered.

The specification has been herein amended to overcome the Examiner's objections thereto.

Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to avoid the rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph and to more clearly distinguish the present invention over the prior art.

A new Abstract of the Disclosure has been added in the application.

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 have been rejected by the Examiner under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by Kaneshige.

The Examiner referred to Fig. 12 of Kaneshige as showing the present invention as claimed. The Examiner alleged that webs 13 are formed on a substrate, similarly to the present invention.

As clearly disclosed by Kaneshige his casing 11 contains a printed circuit board 15 and has a shielded inside 12, and shielded walls 13 to define compartments. The shielded inside 12 and walls 13 are plated with nickel,

copper, or other suitable material. As also disclosed by Kaneshige in connection with Fig. 12, when the cover plate is put on the casing, electrical components on the printed circuit board 15 will be shielded from each other by walls 13.

There is no suggestion whatsoever in the Kaneshige disclosure that the webs are formed directly on the substrate which is mounted on the inner side of the housing body.

Kaneshige teaches a connecting terminal for connecting an electromagnetic field to a ground pattern formed on a printed circuit board contained inside the casing.

Contrary to Kaneshige, in the present invention the chambers for accommodating individual circuit units are formed by the substrate itself and the separating webs.

It is respectfully submitted that claim 1 as amended is neither anticipated by nor rendered obvious over the Kaneshige disclosure.

Claims 3, 4, and 7 have been rejected under 35 USC §103 over Kaneshige et al in view of Chung et al.

Chung teaches that an electromagnetic interference shield material can be polymer including metal particles.

However, neither Kaneshige nor Chung et al., taken singly or the combination, offer any hint to a skilled artisan that a substrate may be made from a polymer embedded with metal particles.

In view of the foregoing it is believed that claims 1-8 are patently distinguishable over the prior art.

Reconsideration and allowance is msot respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Any costs involved should be charged to the deposit account of the undersigned (No. 19-4675). Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,

- 12 -

Michael J. Striker Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 27233